

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,954	07/24/2003	Gerald G. Fagerness	ROC920030054US1	1614
3006 7509 09222011 BM CORPORATION ROCHESTER IP LAW DEPT. 917 3605 HIGHWAY 52 NORTH ROCHESTER, MN 55901-7829			EXAMINER	
			SCHEIBEL, ROBERT C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2467		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

rociplaw@us.ibm.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/625.954 FAGERNESS ET AL. Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Fyaminer Art I Init ROBERT C. SCHEIBEL 2467 All Participants: Status of Application: Pending (1) ROBERT C. SCHEIBEL. (3) _____. (2) Steve M. Santisi (Reg. No. 40,157). (4) _____. Date of Interview: 22 February 2011 Time: 1:30 PM Type of Interview: Telephonic ☐ Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Tyes Tyo If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 16-19 Prior art documents discussed: US 2010/0020802 to Willis Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /ROBERT C. SCHEIBEL/ Examiner Art Unit 2467 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/625,954

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that claims 16-19 would be subject to a rejection in view of Shtayer and Willis. Examiner and Applicant spoke several times in an attempt to find allowable subject matter to overcome the proposed rejection. However, Examiner and Applicant were unable to agree upon suitable allowable claim language. As such, Examiner indicated that an Office Action would be forthcoming to enable Applicant to consider how to overcome the prior art of record.